EXHIBIT E

Pages 1 - 49

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable James Donato, Judge

COREPHOTONICS,

Plaintiff,

) CASE NO. 17-CV-06457 JD VS.

APPLE, INC.,

Defendant.

San Francisco, California Thursday, October 5, 2023

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff:

RUSS, AUGUST & KABAT

12424 Wilshire Boulevard - 12th Floor

Los Angeles, California 90025

BY: MARC A. FENSTER, ATTORNEY AT LAW

BRIAN D. LEDAHL, ATTORNEY AT LAW

JAMES S. TSUEI, ATTORNEY AT LAW

For Defendant:

COOLEY LLP

3175 Hanover Street

Palo Alto, California 94304

BY: HEIDI L. KEEFE, ATTORNEY AT LAW LOWELL D. MEAD, ATTORNEY AT LAW

PRIYA B. VISWANATH, ATTORNEY AT LAW

REPORTED BY: Marla F. Knox, CSR No. 14421, RPR, CRR, RMR

United States District Court - Official Reporter

Thursday - October 5, 2023 1 10:50 a.m. 2 PROCEEDINGS ---000---3 THE CLERK: Calling civil 17-6457, Corephotonics 4 5 Limited, versus Apple, Inc. 6 (Pause in proceedings.) THE CLERK: Counsel. 7 MR. FENSTER: Good morning, Your Honor, Marc Fenster 8 for the Plaintiff Corephotonics. 9 MR. LEDAHL: Good morning, Your Honor, Brian Ledahl, 10 also for Plaintiff Corephotonics. 11 MR. TSUEI: Good morning, Your Honor, James Tsuei, 12 here also for Plaintiff Corephotonics. 13 MS. KEEFE: Good morning, Your Honor, Heidi Keefe from 14 15 Cooley on behalf of Apple, Inc. 16 MS. VISWANATH: Good morning, Your Honor, Priya Viswanath, also on behalf of Apple, Inc. 17 18 MR. MEAD: Good morning, Your Honor, Lowell Mead, also 19 on behalf of Apple. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Claim construction. So, I think all I really need today are fused, fusion -- the fuse ones; 21 So I just need fused, fusion, fusion output image, 22 23 without fusion output image, that's one set, and also lens assembly. That's really all I need. I think I can do the 24 rest. So who from Corephotonics? 25

THE COURT: I understand that but they have a right to, you know, make sure this is all right. And so -- in other words, we don't just -- you know, there is nothing about you personally but we just don't -- you just don't come in and say "Don't worry about it." They have the right to examine the premise.

MR. FENSTER: All assignments have to be recorded with the Patent and Trademark Office. The patents have always been and remain owned by Corephotonics.

THE COURT: It sounds to me like it's a more nuanced question than just whether there has been a blunt force assignment.

So just produce it. Just produce the SPA. I mean, just that one document. Start with that; okay. Just take a look at it. Make it attorneys' eyes only or outside counsels' eyes only. Nobody at Apple can know anything about it; okay.

And I have looked at SPAs and other similar acquisition agreements in the past. I don't think you are going to find it that useful; but if you want to see it, I'm not going to say no.

Once you get this, how is that going to advance the settlement discussions?

MS. KEEFE: It very well could, Your Honor, because one of the real issues is around control and whether or not Samsung has control over Corephotonics which could give Apple

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
It seems like you should be asking other
         THE COURT:
questions.
            Is discovery closed? I have forgotten.
                    Discovery is not closed, Your Honor.
        MS. KEEFE:
                     Take some depositions of Corephotonics and
         THE COURT:
Samsung on their relationship. That would be a better way of
doing it than just --
                     Those are all noticed up as well, Your
        MS. KEEFE:
Honor, but the very fact that they won't produce the agreements
by which they came into being purchased tells me that I'm going
to be right back here in front of Your Honor again because --
         THE COURT: You are going to get the SPA; okay.
can have that. Attorneys' eyes only and then -- you can do it
in discovery, but let's not -- the point I'm making is control
is often a complicated and sophisticated issue. Okay.
                     I understand that, Your Honor.
        MS. KEEFE:
         THE COURT:
                    So just reading a purchase -- stock
purchase agreement is almost certainly not going to answer
control in the sense you are using it here, at least the way
I'm hearing it.
     So use it for depositions. You know, you don't even need
the agreement. You can just ask: "Who controls
Corephotonics, you know --
                    And they have told us --
        MS. KEEFE:
                    -- and take it from there.
         THE COURT:
        MS. KEEFE:
                     They have told us that three of the five
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. DATE: October 10, 2023 Marla Krox Marla F. Knox, CSR No. 14421, RPR, CRR, RMR United States District Court - Official Reporter